



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 .

4.7.7.4.2.4.7.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/378,858	08/23/99	KIRK		I	MUR-8410

PM82/0724
ALLAN RATNER
RATNER & PRESTIA
ONE WESTLAKES BERWYN SUITE 301
PO BOX 980
VALLEY FORGE PA 19482-0980

EXAMINERTSAY,F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
3672

DATE MAILED: 0

07/24/02

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/378,858**

Applicant(s)

Kirk et al

Examiner

Frank S. Tsay

Art Unit

3672



The MAILING D	ATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
after SIX (6) MONTHS	from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
 If NO period for reply is sp communication. Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the 	set or extended period for reply will, by Office later than three months after the	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adju Status	ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
_	mmunication(s) filed on Mar 21, 2	2001
2a) X This action is FIN	AL. 2b) This act	tion is non-final.
		except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are pending in the application.
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are rejected.
7) 🗌 Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆 Claims		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) fil	led on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed dra	wing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.
12) The oath or decla	ration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	i 119	
13) Acknowledgemen	nt is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a)□ All b)□ Som	e* c)□ None of:	
1. Certified cor	pies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.
2. Certified cor	pies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No
appli	e certified copies of the priority d cation from the International Bure stailed Office action for a list of th	
_		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (I	PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
· —	ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Art Unit: 3672

1. The request filed on March 21, 2001 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/378,858 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langer et al '633 in view of Barron (GB2285649A) or Evans '075 or Seabourn '783.

Langer et al discloses a centralizer for centralizing a tubular in the wellbore which comprises all of the claimed structure with the exception of the centralizer being made of elastomeric material recited in claims 1, 2 and filler material in claims 3, and 4. In this regard, Barron teaches the use of Zinc or Zinc alloy for centralizer making, while Evans and Seabourn teach the use of rubber and/or elastomeric material for the centralizer production for the benefit of specific wellbore drilling/production conditions. It would therefore have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Langer et al centralizer using elastomeric and/or plastic material for the centralizer construction suitable for a specific wellbore

Serial Number: 09/378,858

Art Unit: 3672

Page 3

condition. Since such would have been a simple matter of engineering design consideration. The specific types of plastic/elastomeric material and filler material in the respective claims are well known and readily available in the market, hence fail to render patentable distinctions over the prior art.

Conclusion

4. This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/378,858. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3672

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Frank S. Tsay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. E.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. David Bagnell, can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3597, (703) 305-7687, or (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2168.

Tsay/FT

July 20, 2001

Frank S. Tsay Primary Examiner Group 3600